UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

EASTSIDE HOLDINGS, INC., Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES INC., et al.,

Defendants.

(Caption continued on following page)

No. 08-cv-2793 (RWS)

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF BRANSBOURG'S OPPOSITION TO THE STATE OF MICHIGAN RETIREMENT SYSTEMS' MOTION FOR CONSOLIDATION

RAZILL C. BECHER,

Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

No. 08-cv-2866 (RWS)

Plaintiff,

v.

THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES INC., et al.,

Defendants.

GREEK ORTHODOX ARCHDIOCESE FOUNDATION, by and through GEORGE KERITSIS, TRUSTEE, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES INC., et al.,

Defendants.

SAMUEL T. COHEN and JEROME BIRN, on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated and Derivatively on Behalf of THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FREDERICK S. SCHWARTZ, Individually and on Behalf of All Other Similarly Situated Persons,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES INC., et al.,

Defendants.

GILLES BRANSBOURG, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES INC., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 08-cv-3013 (RWS)

No. 07-cv-10453 (RWS)

No. 08-cv-4972 (RWS)

No. 08-cv-5054 (RWS)

Page 3 of 9

Defendant The Bear Stearns Companies LLC ("Bear Stearns"), on behalf of all defendants in the above-captioned actions (together, "defendants"), respectfully submits this response to plaintiff Bransbourg's opposition to the motion filed by the State of Michigan Retirement Systems ("SMRS") to consolidate Bransbourg v. The Bear Stearns Cos. Inc., No. 08cv-05054, and Schwartz v. The Bear Stearns Cos., No. 08-cv-04972, with the other related actions pending against defendants in this jurisdiction, ¹ and in further support of SMRS's motion for consolidation.

Bransbourg and Schwartz were filed on June 2, 2008, and May 29, 2008, respectively, after various shareholder groups, including SMRS, filed motions for consolidation and appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel on May 16, 2008, in one or more of the related actions pending before this Court. Pursuant to the Court's June 19, 2008 order that the parties confer on a briefing schedule for SMRS's motion to consolidate Bransbourg and Schwartz with the other related actions, SMRS submitted a motion to consolidate on July 8, 2008, and Bransbourg submitted his opposition on July 18. Because Schwartz did not submit any opposition to SMRS's motion, defendants' response focuses on Bransbourg's opposition.

As defendants stated in their response to the earlier motions for consolidation and appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel, although they take no position at this stage concerning the appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel, they support consolidation of the pending related actions, including *Bransbourg* and *Schwartz*, all of which involve common questions of law and fact. Accordingly, defendants respectfully request that the Court consolidate these actions with the other pending related actions in this jurisdiction.

These actions are Eastside Holdings Inc., 08-cv-2793; Becher, 08-cv-2866; Greek Orthodox Archdiocese Foundation, 08-cv-3013; and Cohen, 07-cv-10453.

Bransbourg concedes that "the same course of conduct gave rise to both" Bransbourg and the other pending related actions. (Opp'n at 7.) Not only do these cases all share common factual underpinnings, they also involve the same causes of action and many of the same defendants. The substantial overlap in the facts, law, and defendants counsels in favor of consolidation, which will promote the interests of the parties and the just and efficient litigation of these cases.

Bransbourg's arguments to the contrary are unavailing.

First, although Bransbourg conclusorily states that it will be prejudiced by consolidation with the other related actions, it can point to no prejudice that it would sustain as the result of consolidation. The "conflicts" on which Bransbourg spends the majority of its

opposition—for instance, purportedly unique defenses concerning reliance (Opp'n at 5), and purportedly unique burdens of proof (Opp'n at 8-9)—are not issues relevant to the question of whether *Bransbourg* and *Schwartz* should be consolidated with the other pending related actions. Instead, Bransbourg's opposition focuses not on consolidation, but rather on the different issue of determination of lead plaintiff. (See Opp'n at 3-10.) Further, it bears noting that the purported conflicts identified by Bransbourg may never materialize and in any event need not be decided now.

Second, just because the purported class in Bransbourg holds shares—or has vested rights to shares—of Bear Stearns stock as compensation, instead of purchasing that stock in the open market, that does not preclude consolidation. The fact remains that the Bransbourg plaintiffs' claims are based upon the same operative facts as the claims of the plaintiffs in the other pending actions, and thus consolidation is appropriate here. (See Opening Br. at 4-5); see also In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig., 206 F.R.D. 427, 451 (S.D. Tex. 2002) (rejecting arguments by holders of differentiated securities seeking separate classes and lead counsel in favor of "a unified class" to encourage "diligent and efficient prosecution of the causes of action"); In re Cendant Corp. Litig., 182 F.R.D. 476, 480 (D.N.J. 1998) (refusing to appoint separate lead plaintiffs and counsel for separate groups of investors since "what matters here is that the claims of every member or constituent group of the putative class arise from the same false or allegedly fraudulent representations of [the defendants]").

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, defendants respectfully request that Bransbourg and Schwartz be consolidated with all pending related actions against defendants in this Court.

Dated: July 25, 2008 New York, New York

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & **GARRISON LLP**

By: /s/ Brad S. Karp (bkarp@paulweiss.com)

Eric S. Goldstein (egoldstein@paulweiss.com) Daniel J. Toal (dtoal@paulweiss.com)

Jonathan Hurwitz (jhurwitz@paulweiss.com)

1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019-6064 Tel.

(212) 373-3000 Fax (212) 757-3980

CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP

Gregory A. Markel **Ronit Setton**

One World Financial Center

New York, NY 10281

Tel. (212) 504-6000

Fax (212) 504-6666

Attorneys for Defendant The Bear Stearns Companies LLC and Michael Minikes

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

By: /s/

Barry H. Berke (bberke@kramerlevin.com)

David S. Frankel (dfrankel@kramerlevin.com)

1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

(212) 715-9100 Tel. Fax (212) 715-8000

Attorneys for Defendant James E. Cayne

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

By:___/s/ Jay Kasner (jay.kasner@skadden.com) Susan Saltzstein (susan.saltzstein@skadden.com)

4 Times Square

New York, New York 10036-6522

(212) 735-3000 (212) 735-2000 Fax

Attorneys for Defendant Alan D. Schwartz

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

By:___/s/ Michael Chepiga (mchepiga@stblaw.com)

425 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017

Tel. (212) 455-2000 Fax (212) 455-2502

Attorneys for Defendant Samuel L. Molinaro, Jr.

SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP

By: /s/ Alan Glickman (alan.glickman@srz.com)

919 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Tel. (212) 756-2000

Fax (212) 593-5955

Attorneys for Defendant Alan C. Greenberg

By:___/s/

Lawrence B. Pedowitz (LBPedowitz@wlrk.com) David B. Anders (DBAnders@wlrk.com)

51 West 52nd Street

New York, New York 10019

Tel. (212) 403-1000

Fax (212) 403-2000

Attorneys for Defendant Warren J. Spector

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP

By:____/s/_

Michael R. Young (myoung@willkie.com) Antonio Yanez (ayanez@willkie.com)

787 Seventh Avenue

New York, New York 10019-6099

Tel. (212) 728-8000

(212) 728-8111 Fax

Attorneys for Defendant Jeffrey M. Farber

SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

/s/ Steven F. Molo (smolo@shearman.com) By:

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

(212) 848-4000 Tel.

(646) 848-7456 Fax

Attorneys for Defendant Jeffrey Mayer

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: /s/
Randy M. Mastro (rmastro@gibsondunn.com)
Robert F. Serio (rserio@gibsondunn.com)

200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166 Tel. (212) 351-4000 Fax (212) 351-4035

Attorneys for Defendants Henry Bienen, Carl D. Glickman, Michael Goldstein, Donald J. Harrington, Frank T. Nickell, Paul A. Novelly, Frederic V. Salerno, Vincent Tese, and Wesley S. Williams, Jr.

Filed on behalf of The Bear Stearns Companies Inc., James E. Cayne, Alan D. Schwartz, Samuel L. Molinaro, Jr., Alan C. Greenberg, Warren J. Spector, Jeffrey M. Farber, Jeffrey Mayer, Michael Minikes, Henry Bienen, Carl D. Glickman, Michael Goldstein, Donald J. Harrington, Frank T. Nickell, Paul A. Novelly, Frederic V. Salerno, Vincent Tese, and Wesley S. Williams, Jr. upon consent.